

### **AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS**

The attached nine drawing sheets include a change to each of Figs. 3A, 4A, 4E, 4F, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 and respectively replace the original sheets that include Figs. 3A, 4A, 4E, 4F, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 thereon. In Fig. 4A, the designation for the section line has been changed from "7-7" to -- 4-4 --. In each of Figs. 3A, 4E, 4F, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9, the symbols "5", "\*", and "%" have been replaced with the symbols "R", "G", and "B", corresponding to the red light emitting organic layer, the green light emitting organic layer, and the blue light emitting organic layer described in the Specification.

Attachment: Nine replacement sheets.

### REMARKS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated 23 February 2005. Responsive to the objections made in the Official Action, Claim 14 has been amended to change the dependency thereof and Claim 15 was amended to correct the language thereof.

In the Official Action, the Examiner objected to the drawings due to the inclusions of the symbols “5”, “\*”, and “%” on the organic layers, which were undefined in the Specification. Additionally, the Examiner indicated that the section line 7-7 in Figure 4A should be 4-4, to indicate where the section drawing is found. Accordingly, corrected formal drawings of Figures 3A, 4A, 4E, 4F, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 are attached hereto. It is believed that the correction to the drawings overcomes the Examiner’s objections.


In the Official Action, the Examiner objected to the disclosure because of a number of informalities therein. Those informalities kindly noted by the Examiner, as well as several others found during a review of the Specification have been corrected by this Amendment. No new matter has been added by these changes.

In the Official Action, the Examiner objected to Claims 14 and 15. The Examiner stated that Claim 14 conflicted with Claim 10, upon which it was dependent and in Claim 15, the word “from” was misspelled.

Claim 14 has been amended to change the dependency thereof. Claim 14, now dependent on Claim 3, avoids the conflict kindly noted by the Examiner. Claim 15 has been amended to correct the spelling error therein.

For all the foregoing reasons, it is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,  
FOR ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE

  
David I. Klein  
Registration #33,253

Dated: 18 May 2005

Rosenberg, Klein & Lee  
Suite 101  
3458 Ellicott Center Drive  
Ellicott City, MD 21043  
(410) 465-6678

Customer No.  
**04586**